Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,967	LAURA, JOSEPH G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BEN C. WANG	2192
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Mr. Brian C. Genco (Reg. No. 58,096)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>BEN C. WANG</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 September 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Trojocaon(e) diocuccou.		
Claims discussed:		
1, 12, 21, and 35		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Ben C Wang/		
Examiner, Art Unit 2192	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 12, 21, and 35 to overcome potential rejections under 35 USC 101 and/or 112, 2nd paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Genco provides via fax a proposed amendments on 11/9/10 and authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.